



## Green buildings and sustainable architecture: Energy-efficient design principles

Akshay Chavan<sup>1\*</sup>, Kishor Shinde<sup>2</sup>, Shubham Jadhav<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Science, School of Earth Science, Punyashlok Ahilyadevi Holkar Solapur University, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Zoology, Walchand College of Arts and Science, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

<sup>3</sup> Infront of Vima Office, Damani Nagar, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

### Abstract

Green buildings and sustainable architecture have emerged as essential strategies to address climate change, environmental degradation, and resource depletion. Energy-efficient design principles form the cornerstone of sustainable architecture by minimizing energy consumption, reducing carbon emissions, and enhancing occupant comfort throughout the building lifecycle. Passive design strategies, such as natural ventilation, solar orientation, insulation, and daylighting, complement active strategies like energy-efficient HVAC systems, renewable energy integration, and smart energy management systems. These approaches synergistically improve energy performance, reduce operational costs, and support environmental sustainability. Case studies, including Belgian passive houses, the Yuedao Residential Community, and zero-energy solar houses in the Solar Decathlon Europe competition, demonstrate the effectiveness of integrating passive and active strategies, confirming significant reductions in energy demand, lifecycle costs, and greenhouse gas emissions. Beyond ecological benefits, energy-efficient buildings offer economic advantages through lower utility expenses, increased property value, and long-term financial returns. Successful implementation, however, requires overcoming barriers related to technology, skilled workforce, financial constraints, and regulatory frameworks. The study underscores that energy-efficient design principles not only contribute to sustainable urban development but also promote occupant well-being, biodiversity, and resilience, highlighting their potential for widespread adoption in the global construction industry.

**Keywords:** Green buildings, sustainable architecture, energy-efficient design, passive design strategies, active design strategies, renewable energy integration, building energy management, daylighting, insulation

### Introduction

The impact on buildings and construction based on the concerns of climate change, environmental degradation, and resource depletion have initiated the need for sustainable innovations in the industry as well. Sustainable architecture and green buildings have aimed to minimize the ecological footprint while enhancing the humans' well-being and productivity. Energy-efficient design principles have taken its part in achieving these efforts, focused on reducing the amount of energy in buildings, cutting carbon emissions, and contributing to the overall sustainability of buildings and construction. Employing passive design, along with active design strategies, architects and engineers formulate intelligent and climate-responsive designs that are fit for the context. As construction industry takes a larger step towards environmental consciousness, the integration of sustainable design principles is seen as not merely addressing the problems faced today but an opportunity to achieve a shift and change the culture of how humans build their environment.

### Energy-Efficient Design Principles

Energy-efficient design forms the basis of green buildings by ensuring comfort for the occupants of the building while limiting resource consumption for the entire lifecycle of the building. To achieve optimal performance and minimize the environmental footprint, architects implement energy-efficient design principles to limit energy consumption through passive solar design strategies, energy-efficient equipment, and renewable energy integration (Gupta & Chakraborty, 2021) [4]. Energy efficiency design principles

are necessary for the high energy consumption problems associated with buildings as energy is primarily used for heating, cooling, and lighting which have been shown to account for a considerable portion of the overall energy requirement and associated carbon emissions (Pavate *et al.*, 2024) [11]. They assess the resource efficiency from construction to demolition and are at the core of sustainable architecture considering the entire process. Thus, energy-efficient design is the core of green buildings and is impactful in shaping the direction of current and future developments in the construction industry.

### Passive Design Strategies

Passive design strategies are critical among the key elements of energy-efficient architecture, since strategies like natural ventilation, solar orientation, and insulation impact a building's performance to minimize energy use. By contextually applying climatic conditions around a site, passive architectural strategies contribute to control the indoor temperature, reduce the use of mechanical heating or cooling, and improve user comfort. Valuable research findings were extracted from zero-energy housing competitions to recommend strategies such as the application of shading, thermal mass, and window location which improve natural lighting and ventilation at residences while minimizing reliance on artificial systems (Yu *et al.*, 2019) [15]. In addition, literature reviews revealed that through integrating passive strategies, buildings can achieve energy savings from 6.7% to 66.2% and reduced lifecycle costs, greenhouse gas emissions, from building operation (Elaouzy & El Fadar, 2022) [2]. Passive strategies offer an

optimal way, globally adjusting to climate and user requirements, towards sustainable and efficient built environments.

Furthermore, thermal mass and insulation are key elements in providing added value to both short and long-term building energy performance by providing improved indoor conditions. Mass materials such as concrete or bricks store the excess heat throughout the day and released to cooler time periods, which helps the maintain the indoor conditions without depending too much on mechanics. Insulation also

contributes positively to the effect by reducing heat transfer from indoor to outdoor and requires less heating and cooling during the year. Studies show that the use of reflective paints, retrofitting strategies and optimizing the elements in the building envelope reduce the energy consumption of buildings significantly provides thermal comfort for occupants (William *et al.*, 2021) [13]. Regardless of climate conditions, by focusing on thermal mass and insulation in the design stage, sustainable buildings can target for the energy conservation and performance goals.

**Table 1:** Comparative overview of passive and active energy-efficient design strategies, their benefits, and practical case study examples in sustainable architecture

Design Strategy	Key Features / Techniques	Benefits	Case Study Examples
Passive Design	Natural ventilation, solar orientation, insulation, thermal mass, shading, daylighting, window placement	Reduces energy demand for heating/cooling, improves indoor comfort, lowers operational costs, reduces GHG emissions	Belgian Passive Houses, Yuedao Residential Community (Lingnan)
Active Design	Energy-efficient HVAC, smart building management systems (BMS/EMS), smart sensors, renewable energy (solar PV, wind), automation	Optimizes real-time energy use, reduces electricity demand, supports energy independence, ensures comfort and air quality	Solar Decathlon Europe Zero Energy Solar Houses, Yuedao Residential Community (renewables integration)
Combined Passive + Active	Integrated design approach aligning passive and active systems for synergistic performance	Maximizes energy savings, enhances occupant well-being, lowers lifecycle costs, reduces carbon footprint, supports sustainability goals	Belgian Passive Houses, ZESH in Solar Decathlon Europe, Global Zero-Energy Housing Competitions
Environmental Impact	Reduced carbon emissions, lower fossil fuel consumption, habitat preservation, green roofs, native landscaping	Promotes urban biodiversity, reduces ecological footprint, improves climate resilience	Belgian Passive Houses, sustainable urban developments with green roofs
Economic Impact	Lower energy bills, higher property value, reduced operational and maintenance costs	Long-term financial savings, increased marketability of buildings, enhanced economic competitiveness	Passive house markets in Europe, sustainable residential projects worldwide

The table-1 summarizes the key distinctions and complementary roles of passive and active design strategies in energy-efficient buildings. Passive strategies, such as natural ventilation, solar orientation, insulation, and daylighting, primarily aim to reduce energy demand for heating, cooling, and lighting while enhancing indoor comfort and occupant well-being. Active strategies, including energy-efficient HVAC systems, smart sensors, automation, and renewable energy integration, optimize real-time energy use and further reduce carbon emissions. When combined, these strategies create a synergistic effect, maximizing energy savings, lowering lifecycle costs, and supporting sustainability goals. Case studies like Belgian Passive Houses, Yuedao Residential Community, and Zero Energy Solar Houses in the Solar Decathlon Europe demonstrate the practical application and effectiveness of these principles, highlighting both environmental and economic benefits of energy-efficient design in diverse contexts. Daylighting approaches also form part of energy-efficiency building functionalities where the use natural light is maximized at the same time minimizes the use of electric lightening set ups. Effective design methodologies that include glazing placements, skylights, light shelves and daylighting materials as well as the sunlight classic value for cladding materials, further improves visual comfort and supports occupiers health by reduced electricity supply demands during the day as the sunlight penetrates more into the spaces. Glazing orientation and addressing heat gain with supplementary shading systems promotes light effect on indoor spaces while also working to limit heat gain especially in areas where urban heat island phenomena exists (He, 2019). Research studies prove that Daylighting low access values have a direct correlation to operational

costs and energy savings thus daylighting remains a key aspect in sustainable architecture. Performance objectives that place daylighting with proper insulation and thermal mass ensure that the design of buildings is not only efficient but also responsive to climate factors urban areas face.

**Active Design Strategies**

The implementation of active design strategies is a necessary complement to passive solutions, aiming to promote greater energy performance of green buildings. The use of energy-efficient heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems makes it possible to significantly reduce the energy demand of buildings with acceptable indoor air quality and thermal comfort for people. New technologies, such as automated building management systems, advanced controls and smart sensors, and smart meters make it possible to further improve the energy efficiency of buildings by optimizing the operation in real time for lighting, HVAC and other building systems (Erebor *et al.*, 2021) [3]. The introduction of smart building technologies allows to carry out accurate adjustment of the microclimate, predict maintenance and repair operations, and also make the system parameters dependent on the number of tenants, which maximizes the savings. Active approaches in combination with passive ones provide a synergistic effect, increasing the total efficiency and bringing the transition to sustainable architecture closer to complete.

Similarly, the use of renewable energy sources continues to be a substantial characteristic of green building projects that can lead to significant declines in the use of conventional energy and emissions. In fact, photovoltaic solar panels and small wind turbines are becoming regular components of

building designs, and consequently, the buildings can sufficiently cover a part or the total of their electrical energy needs through local generation from renewable sources (Yu *et al.*, 2019) [15]. These technologies are practically applied in zero energy solar houses, especially the ones partly built for international competitions, where the integration of renewable systems with other energy efficiency strategies has been shown to successively implement. These strategies deliver a degree of autonomy to buildings and impact the energy balance from the local grid (Danish *et al.*, 2019) [1]. The successful use of renewables also depends on the evaluation of their climatic potential and suitability, technological compatibility, and cost-effectiveness over time to sustain their performance in sustainable buildings.

Also, the energy management systems (EMS) technology has a substantial impact on the ability of green buildings for effective resource management. EMS integrates functions involving monitoring, control, and analysis of interactions with building use. The real-time data collection and management, along with advanced data analysis allows buildings to continuously monitor and measure their energy consumption, detect inefficiencies, and take corrective actions within shortest time frames. Well-designed EMS platforms allow defining and applying set of energy indicators in order to provide feedback about building performance and facilitate decisions on technical and managerial levels that positively affect the energy efficiency of the building (Danish *et al.*, 2019) [1]. The use of such comprehensive framework allows facility managers and users of green buildings to rapidly respond to changes in demand profiles, weather, or occupancy, to reduce energy waste. Incorporation of EMS technology into holistic approach towards resource management supported by sustainable architecture principles helps buildings to optimize their resource use dynamically and to contribute to efficiency objectives and environmental goals in the long-term perspective.

### Environmental benefits

To sum up, energy efficient design practices applied to green buildings resulting in significant environmental advantages through carbon footprint and natural resources decrease. The use of passive measures including insulation, shading, and building orientation considerably reduces artificial heating and cooling demands, which account for significant energy consumption of residential buildings, and is directly linked to green-house gas emissions (Tushar *et al.*, 2021) [12]. The use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and energy simulation practices allows the accurate calculations of the environmental benefits and direct impact of a particular design element during a building lifecycle. Effective design practice reduces conventional energy consumption, hence fossil and non-renewable resource extraction and consumption, which alleviates human impact on a particular ecosystem and new construction environmental footprints. Energy savings and raw material conservation directly contribute to sustainability goals, promoting the impact of built environment in a smaller scale to environmental mission (Tushar *et al.*, 2021) [12].

The case studies of Belgian passive houses illustrate an effective evidence-based approach that validates the discussed principles in achieving energy efficiency in building design and construction. The received data allows for making a number of conclusions. These buildings

integrate numerous passive design strategies, such as high-quality insulation, shading, and airtightness, which results in a significant decrease in energy consumption and operational carbon emissions (Kapedani *et al.*, 2019) [6]. Their modeling shows that passive measures lead to a reduction of energy demand by 66.2%, impacting lifecycle costs and payback time for some solutions (Elaouzy & El Fadar, 2022) [2]. The target on long-term use of buildings and integration of energy efficiency and universal design strategies makes it possible to expand the scope of their appeal for a wider range of occupants. The abovementioned success of Belgian passive houses reinforces the potential of similar energy-efficient solutions for going beyond the initial project standards and being customized for integration into different building typologies and climates while confirming their environmental impact by measured results.

Likewise, in terms of biodiversity and ecosystems, sustainable architecture aims to preserve ecosystem functions and promote biodiversity by employing strategies that minimize disruption to natural habitat through site selection that enhances existing ecological networks, architecture design that encourages green roofs and living walls, and utilization of native species in landscaping. These strategies also provide a habitat for local plants and wildlife, thus promoting biodiversity. While the indirect positive impact in emissions reduction supports urban biodiversity, this also reinforces ecological systems to adapt as well as protect cities from environmental risks. Moreover, research indicates that focusing on indicators of ecological quality during the design phase has social impacts on building users as it encourages health and well-being and promotes access to green spaces (Nenadović & Milošević, 2022) [10]. Hence, through reduced resource consumption, energy-efficient architecture contributes to sustainability targets while encouraging an active role in restoring and reinforcing urban biodiversity. It strengthens further the interconnected relationship between an urban habitat and its surrounding ecosystems.

### Economic Advantages

Furthermore, aside from the ecological impacts, the adoption of energy-efficient design principles through sustainable architecture proves to scale relatively larger impacts on the economic potentials at the micro and macro-level. In particular, the adoption of energy-efficient design principles enables building owners to realize substantial cost benefits over time as consequent energy consumption and maintenance cost is lower. Furthermore, studies show how sustainable construction investment links with enhanced economic growth in national economies as countries enjoying growing gross domestic production often correlate highly with the investment in energy-efficient designs on grand-scale projects (Liu *et al.*, 2020) [9]. In developing economies, the development of sustainable and energy-efficient construction practices can create a more favorable business landscape where industry stability and resilience help in reallocation of resources. These economic competitiveness and cost benefits reflect how the integration of energy-efficient design principles through sustainable architecture does not only realize collective impact to building performance but further reinforces economic stability that is conducive to sustainable growth.

Furthermore, the energy efficiency optimization of green buildings translates into real savings over the lifetime of a

building's operation. Provisions for the building's envelope optimization and the application of high-performance insulation materials significantly cut heating and cooling expenses, which also mirrors on monthly utility fees paid by tenants and owners alike. Continuous researches also indicate that the use of reflective paints, alongside other retrofitting measures, conserves energy while reducing the amount of mechanical maintenance (William *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[13]</sup>. In effect, green buildings not only reduce energy-related costs but also involve lesser operating costs regarding maintenance, as systems were not overused and abused. The operational efficiency of green buildings increases the value of sustainable architecture, advocating for further commitment to energy-efficient design implementation on new and retrofitted buildings alike.

Additionally, energy-efficient buildings are always reported to have higher property values and saleability margin over conventional buildings. The reduced operating costs, better quality in indoor environment, and reduced energy consumption that the buyers and investors of green buildings expect lead to higher demand for green buildings in the market (Pavate *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[11]</sup>. This eventually will push greener buildings' price and lead to potential premium returns on sustainable buildings as more market stakeholders are becoming aware of the givens of the benefits from environmental responsibility, built environment sustainability, and future-proofing of the building stock in the market. Evidence suggests that building features that are energy-efficient not only use to gain higher satisfaction from occupant perspective but also help the building be a better long-term asset regards reduced utility bills and carbon emissions. It is clear that in the building market, designers have assumed that incorporating energy-efficient characteristics in their design is economically eluded as it helps the building in short term towards saleability and longer term in terms of property value.

Nonetheless, a number of enduring barriers must be confronted through relevant approaches for the successful transfer of energy-efficient measures into practice. Among the technical issues are the integration of innovative technologies into existing infrastructure, the lack of accessible advanced materials, and insufficient knowledge related to sustainable design and implementation demonstrated by professionals in the construction industry. Furthermore, financial barriers alongside ROI-related uncertainty in green measures can prevent the construction industry from implementing them at the forefront unless relevant economic incentives or encouraging policies are available. Overcoming these barriers necessitates the implementation of a range of approaches, such as professional education and training, comprehensive policy measures, as well as enhanced research activity relating to cost-effective innovative materials and technologies that maximize the efficiency of space occupancy and resource consumption (Karimi *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[7]</sup>. With further focus on educating stakeholders and engaging them in the collaboration, it will be possible to facilitate the large-scale implementation of measures for energy efficiency in the construction industry for the successful transition towards healthier indoor environments and global sustainability (Karimi *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[7]</sup>.

Nonetheless, the veneer of potential cost savings associated with the implementation of energy-efficient design

principles in sustainable architecture, developers frequently face perceived financial constraints due to initial costs. The upfront cost incurred by advanced insulation, high-performance glazing, and the implementation of automation technologies can all add significantly to the initial outlay in comparison to traditional building techniques and materials. Financial modeling techniques, including Building Information Modelling-enabled Life Cycle Assessment (BIM-LCA), indicate that green construction techniques often provide long-term operational savings, yet the additional burden of higher initial Capital Expenditure (CapEx) may inhibit uptake on resource-limited early-stage projects (Tushar *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[12]</sup>. Therefore, developers face the challenge of weighing the immediate costs associated with construction against potential reductions in energy & use and overall lifecycle costs. Thus, real or perceived financial constraints associated with green design schemes serve as a considerable obstacle for developers, particularly when the regulatory or market-driven environment does not provide economic incentives or financial mechanisms to mitigate the initial burden.

Technological barriers and lack of competent workforce remain important constraints to the development of sustainable construction. The complexity of building systems and the incorporation of advanced energy-saving materials into the design process require specialized knowledge and skills from building professionals, which may not be available to competent professionals in the construction of buildings. Existing attitudes of architects and contractors to new and other technologies and innovative design principles, rooted in traditions or created by a lack of awareness, influence their widespread dissemination, which complicates the conduct of projects (Kapedani *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[6]</sup>. Also, sometimes there is not enough transfer, application and implementation of information in relation to state-of-the-art solutions, which reduces their applicability and efficiency, as well as the speed of achieving positive effects that their implementation is capable of providing in architecture. To overcome these barriers, it is necessary first of all to pay attention to training activities, the inclusion of specific training projects, so that the skill set of the construction industry will allow the implementation and preservation of innovations for a long time and their proper functioning (Kapedani *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[6]</sup>. Therefore, the key regulatory and policy challenges in green building projects can be alleviated through amalgamating flexible approval processes, performance-based standards, and stronger coordination across sectors. For instance, authorities can create green building codes that amalgamate flexible compliance options with innovative applications of materials and energy systems (Yahia & Shahjalal, 2024)<sup>[14]</sup>. Another option is providing technical guidance and best practices sharing platforms to enable the construction sector to understand the regulations and identify sustainable materials, such as recycled steel, bamboo, low-carbon concrete (Yahia & Shahjalal, 2024)<sup>[14]</sup>. Policymakers can use Life Cycle Assessment as a uniform standard tool for analyzing the environmental and economic value of construction products (Yahia & Shahjalal, 2024)<sup>[14]</sup>. Life Cycle Assessment can assist in developing more transparent and consistent processes in constructing buildings, thus strengthening regulatory solutions. Moreover, these strategies can be successfully implemented through consolidation between government agencies, construction

companies, industry leaders, and educational institutions to create a supportive regulatory framework that eliminates construction bottlenecks.

### Case Studies

The Yuedao Residential Community in the Lingnan area stands as a prominent informative instance of energy-efficient design where passive approaches unconventionally facilitated the attainment of both indoor health and energy efficiency. With the implementation of external sun shading systems and building performance simulations, a better thermal comfort with maintained lighting and acoustics resulted, complying with the healthy built environment standards (Li *et al.*, 2021) [8]. This building reflects the targeted climate-responsive passive principles of architecture adapted to Lingnan challenges, such as shading, orientation, and traditions, that has saved regional cultural wealth without the loss of heritage in modern sustainable architecture. In this regard, further energy-efficient buildings survey analyses provide that the passive solar design, together with insulated schemes and renewable energy technologies, always lower the energy level throughout the building lifecycle (Gupta & Chakraborty, 2021) [4]. They manifest that certain passive and active design approaches executed properly can significantly mitigate energy demand, provide repeatability with varying success in diverse conditions.

Through a comprehensive example, the researched paper focuses on the integration of efforts in the definition of how strategic design shall be applied to improve energy performance in green buildings. Solar Decathlon Europe recently held in Madrid is a competition that aims for designing the zero-energy solar houses (ZESH), through a combination of high performance insulation, shading, coupled with highly efficient photovoltaics, and using prefabricated building systems for time saving, all this techniques had worked together because they shared companies ideals for improved construction efficiency, through the analysis of 33 participant projects it was concluded that those who had better established passive-active synergistic relationships in the design, (Yu *et al.*, 2019) [15] proved a more effective performance in general through multi-criteria analysis which coupled such a design decision on the building with lower energy requirements, while providing better comfort for the occupants. This initiative managed to bring up a model for climate study and adaptation for the Mediterranean climate on the newly discovered empiric findings allowing to transfer acquired knowledge experimentally to a model ready for global implementation. Thus, in this case, it is possible to mention how it is now that a complete integration of design efforts allows to achieve the goals of sustainable architecture in perfect homes that perform efficiently and replicable.

Learning from the recent case studies, thus, the primary findings emphasized the necessity to ensure that energy efficiency objectives during design are correlated with user-centered values, as revealed by Belgian passive house occupants. While the occupants determined energy-efficient design features, the long-term convenience and adaptability to the residents were the key drivers, and the building features were found to prioritize the direct values for the users over the societal impact (Kapedani *et al.*, 2019) [6]. Regarding sustainable development, the available information for the homeowners is crucial to understanding

what they benefit from using green technologies, while the gap in knowledge among professionals or job security factors enforcing habitual practices can negatively impact the decision to implement energy efficiency and universal design techniques. Such findings imply that sustainable architecture should include collaboration with the stakeholders along with the communication of objectives to approach flexible and adaptable environments that fit emerging user needs, as this will provide a new solution that goes beyond the technical success of energy efficiency and promotes resilience and habitability of architecture. As a result, the common acceptance will be increased beyond societies of close adaptation to the wider population (Kapedani *et al.*, 2019) [6].

### Conclusion

As a final consideration about the matter discussed in this paper, it is important to note that energy-efficient design principles are among the key drivers of the environmental and economic pillars of sustainability in architecture. The comparative analysis between passive and active strategies, such as insulation, renewable energy, and energy management systems, have demonstrated their combined impact on energy efficiency, emissions reduction, and occupant health outcomes. Meanwhile, the case studies and practical challenges have revealed that it is necessary to ensure the compatibility between technological innovation priorities and end-users' interests, along with the demands set by general legislation. In this sense, as the related technologies and methodologies continue growing in sophistication and accessibility, energy-efficient design principles have a significant potential to move from specialized innovations to widespread practices in the global construction industry. Finally, it can be predicted that the continuous development of innovative technologies, knowledge sharing, and legislative support will promote the global growth of sustainable design practices in architecture and, along with this, a more resilient and responsible built environment.

### References

1. Danish MSS, Senjyu T, Ibrahim AM, Ahmadi M, Howlader AM. A managed framework for energy-efficient building. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 2019;21:120–128. doi:10.1016/j.job.2018.10.013
2. Elaouzy Y, El Fadar A. Energy, economic and environmental benefits of integrating passive design strategies into buildings: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2022;167:112828. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2022.112828
3. Erebor EM, Ibem EO, Ezema IC, Sholanke AB. Energy efficiency design strategies in office buildings: A literature review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2021;665(1):012025. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/665/1/012025
4. Gupta J, Chakraborty M. Energy efficiency in buildings. In: *Sustainable Fuel Technologies Handbook*. Elsevier, 2021, 457–480. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-822989-7.00016-0
5. He BJ. Towards the next generation of green building for urban heat island mitigation: Zero UHI impact building. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 2019;50:101647. doi:10.1016/j.scs.2019.101647

6. Kapedani E, Herssens J, Verbeeck G. Designing for the future? Integrating energy efficiency and universal design in Belgian passive houses. *Energy Research & Social Science*,2019:50:215–223. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2019.01.011
7. Karimi H, Adibhesami MA, Bazazzadeh H, Movafagh S. Green buildings: Human-centered and energy efficiency optimization strategies. *Energies*, 2023, 16(9). doi:10.3390/en16093681
8. Li B, Guo W, Liu X, Zhang Y, Russell PJ, Schnabel MA. *et al.* Sustainable passive design for building performance of healthy built environment in the Lingnan area. *Sustainability*,2021:13(16):9115. doi:10.3390/su13169115
9. Liu ZJ, Pypłacz P, Ermakova M, Konev P. Sustainable construction as a competitive advantage. *Sustainability*,2020:12(15):5946. doi:10.3390/su12155946
10. Nenadović A, Milošević J. Creating sustainable buildings: Structural design based on the criterion of social benefits for building users. *Sustainability*,2022:14(4):2133. doi:10.3390/su14042133
11. Pavate V, Deshmukh VD, Kolekar A, Mendapara A, Patil S, Amrutatti S. *et al.* Green building and energy-efficient design. *J Environ Eng Stud*,2024:8:33–52.
12. Tushar Q, Bhuiyan MA, Zhang G, Maqsood T. An integrated approach of BIM-enabled LCA and energy simulation: The optimized solution towards sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,2021:289:125622. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125622
13. William MA, Suárez-López MJ, Soutullo S, Hanafy AA. Building envelopes toward energy-efficient buildings: A balanced multi-approach decision making. *International Journal of Energy Research*,2021:45(15):21096–21113. doi:10.1002/er.7166
14. Yahia AKM, Shahjalal M. Sustainable materials selection in building design and construction. *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*, 2024, 1(4). doi:10.62304/ijse.v1i04.198
15. Yu Z, Gou Z, Qian F, Fu J, Tao Y. Towards an optimized zero energy solar house: A critical analysis of passive and active design strategies used in Solar Decathlon Europe in Madrid. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,2019:236:117646. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117646